SECRET

Approved For Release 2000/08/27: CIA-RDP76-96183R000500030040-4

DIARY NOTES

DD/S W

6 October 1964

1. I met with Mr. Kirkpatrick and John Clarke to discuss the substance of the Director of Logistics' memorandum of 28 September 1964 in which he proposed that we lease the Key Building in Rosslyn, move into it those components now in the Matomic Building plus the Office of Finance, and make the 2430 E Street complex available to the Technical Services Division. Kirk approved of this plan including the financing as outlined in the memorandum. After the meeting Mr. Innamorati, Regional Director of the Public Buildings Service, Region 3, called to say that he was most anxious for us to take this space. I told Mr. Innamorati that there were several things I wanted before I would sign any agreement. First, I wanted to be sure that there was no possibility of getting all our non-Headquarters components located in the He assured me that this would not be possible. Confidentially, he thought that there would be some Government space there but said that at this time they could not justify the leasing of this block of space, condemnation and demolition of the temporary buildings, etc. I then said that I wanted in some way to be sure that our people would be provided parking in the Key Building and that I wanted at least a gentlemen's understanding that we would eventually get the remainder of the consolidation space we need in that general area. He said that they would do everything they possibly could to get the parking space for us and to lease the remainder of our space in that area. They cannot, however, make an iron-clad commitment. I talked to Alan Warfield about this and asked him and to get together with Mr. Innamorati today. I can then meet with them tomorrow to finalize the deal. (When all the details are ironed out, I want to write a memorandum to Bob Daly, Commissioner of the Public Buildings Service, outlining our understanding of the conditions under which we are accepting this space.)

25X1A6a

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

2. Bob Fuchs telephoned to discuss the following:

a. Bob wanted to pursue the survey which his office made about a year ago of the budget and fiscal positions in the DD/P. Bob believes that the remainder of these positions should be upgraded to grade GS-14. He thought that he has convinced by this but wanted to make sure that I had no objection. I said that he should recognize that this is not a good climate in which to upgrade these people but that as a matter of principle the positions should be established at whatever grade is appropriate. Otherwise, I had no objection. I did remind him, however,

Approved For Release 2000/08/26 ARDP76-00183R000500030040-4

that I would object to any attempt to remove these positions from the supervision of the Chief of Support.

- b. Bob also wanted to know whether it would do any good to requisition some Class A furniture, including a sofa, for one or two of his people. I told him to send the requisition to me; it might be possible to fill it from stock.
- were in to brief me on the Investigations Division of the Office of Security. A few years ago an average investigation took 104 days; today it takes about 60 days. However, with the recently stepped-up tempo in DD/S&T activities this figure has gone up to about 71 days and may still go higher. This is still not bad and not as critical as it might have been a few years ago when we were bringing in larger numbers of people and before we cleared our clerical and secretarial personnel prior to bringing them to Washington.

25X1A9a

- 4. I chaired a meeting attended by and other DD/P representatives, and other DD/S&T representatives, Emmett Echols, Bob Fuchs, to discuss the protection of Clandestine Services rosters which are printed out by the Office of Computer Services. I think that we reached agreement in principle, and everyone there was asked to let know within twenty-four hours whether on further reflection he had any objections to the principles agreed to, which were fairly well spelled out in a memorandum which tabled at the meeting. It was a constructive meeting, and I think that DD/P has a real point in wanting greater protection of these listings of their personnel.
 - 5. Emmett Echols was in to discuss the following:
 - a. Emmett reviewed with me the activities of the Personnel Evaluation Board. The Board seems to be active; however, I think that we should watch this Board very closely to make sure that it is complying with General Carter's directive of 30 May 1964. I inquired about two cases which were not on his list; namely, (Emmett will let me know the progress of these cases.)
 - b. Emmett also reviewed with me the report from his placement people, which he thought was quite encouraging. It seemed to me that the people they had placed were for the most part junior, clerical, or technical people who could get a job without any assistance. I was not overly impressed with any progress they have made in the placement of

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

25X1A9a

25X1A9a 25X1A9a

Approved For Release 2000/08/27 : CIA-RDP76-00183R000500030040-4

senior people. I told Emmett that another Action Memorandum had just been received on this subject and that he should keep very active and accurate records for defensive purposes if for no other reason. Actually, I can't be very optimistic about the success of an outplacement program. It is very difficult to persuade other people in Government or industry to hire people that you find are not good enough for your own use.

of the Office of Logistics to explore the possibility of flying the Director downtown from the Headquarters building by helicopter should he have to get there quickly and the George Washington Parkway and other in-bound roads are congested. I asked him to find out what kind of arrangements could be made and how long it would take to get the chopper to the Headquarters building but not to formalize any arrangements without discussing it with me further.

LKW:jrf

3 7 1 2 2 4

25X1A9a